

Design of alternative management procedures for black grouper fisheries

An exploration of viability and data limitations

Vania Henriquez, Peyton Moore, Bill Harford

bill@natureanalytics.ca

August 15, 2024



CONTEXT

- This presentation (and report) serve as a proposed foundation for designing management procedure (MP) options for black grouper fisheries.
- In 2017, the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) suspended its stock assessment due to high uncertainty in landing records.
- Early 2024, FWC initiated a study to assess the viability and related challenges of designing management procedure(s).
- Today, we summarize a five-part information synthesis aimed at illuminating a pathway to designing and testing management procedure(s).

OUTLINE

Introductory notes

- 1) Key uncertainties affecting management
- 2) Review of management procedures (MPs)
- 3) Examples from other fisheries
- 4) A brief note on MSE
- 5) Viable pathways towards Black grouper MPs

Conclude with ideas for next steps

Introductory notes



INTRODUCTORY NOTES

Management regulations for the South Atlantic Fishery

- Permits for **commercial** fishers.
- Annual catch limits (ACL) and accountability measures (**commercial and recreational**).
- Gear types and usage regulations (**commercial and recreational**).
- Seasonal closure to protect spawners (**commercial and recreational**).
- Eight deep-water marine protected areas (MPAs) and five spawning special management zones (SMZs) to protect habitats (fishing prohibited year-round).
- Minimum size limits (24 inches in total length) (**commercial and recreational**).
- Bag limit, aggregate bag, and recreational vessel limits (**recreational**).


INTRODUCTORY NOTES

Management regulations for the Gulf of Mexico Fishery

- Individual Fishing Quota (IFQ) program and reef fish permit (commercial)
- Annual catch limits (ACL) and accountability measures (commercial and recreational).
- Gear types and usage regulations (commercial and recreational).
- Seasonal closure to protect spawners (commercial and recreational).
- Minimum size limits (24 inches in total length) (commercial and recreational).
- Bag Limit (recreational).

INTRODUCTORY NOTES

Current decision-making process



Landings:
misidentification of
black grouper and gag



SUSPENDED STOCK
ASSESSMENT



Stock status
"unknown"

MANAGEMENT
DECISION

INTRODUCTORY NOTES

Current decision-making process

- **The South Atlantic Fishery Management Council**
 - Level 4 - No stock assessment ; “only reliable catch stocks” (ORCS).
 - ABC rule: Catch-based
 - ABC derived on case-by-case basis; guided by ORCS approach to determine catch statistic and scalar.

- **The Gulf of Mexico Management Council**
 - Tier 3a - No assessment, landings data available, expert opinion suggest recent landings sustainable.
 - ABC control rule: Catch-based
 - OFL = mean recent landings plus two standard deviations
 - ABC = mean of landings plus a determined number of SDs



Key uncertainties in management of black grouper fisheries

Part 1

A large school of black grouper fish swimming in clear blue water. The fish are densely packed and appear to be moving in a coordinated pattern. The background is a deep, clear blue, suggesting an underwater environment.

KEY UNCERTAINTIES


- Summary of the established uncertainties in data inputs.
- Review life history, fishery-dependent and fishery-independent data to assess quality and limitations (e.g., sampling design, coverage, statistics, time gaps).
- Review based on report by SEDAR 48 working group (SEDAR 2017) and some additional considerations.
- Coarse 'traffic light' approach to guide discussions. Not strictly intended to exclude any data types or data sets.



Good quality



















Medium quality



Low quality

KEY UNCERTAINTIES

Table 1. Categorization and reliability of the available data.

Data	Description	Data category	Data reliability
A50%	Age at 50% maturity	Life history	
L50%	Length at 50% maturity	Life history	
M	Natural mortality	Life history	
Linf	Asymptotic length in VBGF	Life history	
K	Growth parameter in VBGF	Life history	
t0	Age at zero length in VBGF	Life history	
<i>a</i>	Parameter <i>a</i> in weight–length relationship	Life history	
<i>b</i>	Parameter <i>b</i> in weight–length relationship	Life history	
A50% transition	Age at 50% of transition	Life history	
L50% transition	Length at 50% of transition	Life history	
Ct	Commercial landings	Catch	
Crt	Recreational landings	Catch	
CAL	Commercial length samples	Catch composition	
Reef Fish Visual Census (RVC)	Fishery independent survey (sub-adults)	Population indices	
MRIP (South Atlantic)	Fishery dependent survey	Population indices	
Southeast Regional Headboat Survey (SRHS)	Fishery dependent survey	Population indices	



Good quality




Medium quality



Low quality

KEY UNCERTAINTIES



Availability

 Available

Length or age sample size (n)

 $n > 100$

 $n > 50$

 $n < 50$

KEY UNCERTAINTIES

Life History

Growth, length-weight, and maturity parameters generally reliable for use in MP design

Some limitations:

- **Growth Parameters:** Limited number of age samples.
- **Age Determination:** Accuracy for older age groups is unknown.
- **Maturity Parameters:** Based on data from 1994-1996; no recent updates.
- **Age at 50% Transition (Female to Male):** Uncertainties due to low sample size and potential under representation of males.
- **Natural Mortality (M):** Derived from empirical approaches. No experimental estimates (e.g., mark-recapture) conducted for black grouper in the southeastern US.

KEY UNCERTAINTIES

Population Indices

Generally reliable for use in MP design

Fishery - independent

1. **Reef Fish Visual Census (RVC) Survey:**

- Limitations: Sub-adult survey in distribution center; hyperstability unclear.

Fishery - dependent

1. **Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey of South Florida (MRFSS/MRIP)**

2. **Southeast Regional Headboat Survey (SRHS)**

- Limitations: **SRHS** is based on trips landing black grouper in the distribution center; potential hyperstability.

RVC and post-1991 **MRIP** surveys could serve as reliable indicators with less bias from species misidentification issues. **SRHS** could be more reliable after 2005.

KEY UNCERTAINTIES

Length Composition

Generally reliable for use in MP design

Length composition data from commercial and recreational fisheries

- Length composition data from TIP (1986 - present), MRIP (1991 - present), and SRHS (2006 - present) could serve as reliable length-based indicators with less bias from species misidentification issues.
- Small annual sample sizes; exploration of representativeness required.
- Length composition may provide information about:
 - Selectivity information.
 - Changes in length-frequency related to fishing mortality.
 - Potential identification of recruitment pulses.

KEY UNCERTAINTIES

Commercial and Recreational Landings

Concern about use in MP design

- Commercial and recreational landings: misidentification with gag.
- Correction Methods:
 - Commercial Landings: Various methods, corrections (e.g., for species misidentification), adjustments, and data exclusions were applied to develop annual commercial landings by year, region, and gear type.
 - Recreational Landings: Adjustments (calibrations) have been applied to improve the accuracy and consistency of MRIP estimates, as well as to correct species misidentification.
- Species misidentification introduces considerable uncertainty to the stock assessment model (not updated since 2010 due to species misidentification).

KEY UNCERTAINTIES

How can we overcome data limitations and improve management recommendations?



How can we design a process for addressing these limitations?


Review of management procedures (MPs)

Part 2

A large school of fish, likely sardines or a similar species, is swimming in clear blue water. The fish are densely packed and moving in a coordinated pattern, creating a shimmering effect as they catch the light. The background is a deep, clear blue, suggesting an open ocean environment.

REVIEW OF MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES


Components of a Management Procedure (MP):



MPs are a procedural paradigm focused on how data collection, analysis, and decision rules work holistically to achieve fishery management objectives

REVIEW OF MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES

MPs exist along a spectrum of complexity
Data limitation is likely to form the basis of proposed solutions



REVIEW OF MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES

Indicator-based MPs

INTERMEDIATE

MPs of intermediate complexity can be based on qualitative data, derived from raw data, or based on simple demographic models.



REVIEW OF MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES

Indicator-based MPs

Fishery management without complex stock assessment.

Indicators are observations or estimates of the state of the fishery resource that are proxies for variables of interest.

*Not dismissing stock assessment (will return to this later).

INTERMEDIATE

MPs of intermediate complexity can be based on qualitative data, derived from raw data, or based on simple demographic models.

REVIEW OF MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES

Indicator-based MPs

Fishery management without complex stock assessment.

Indicators are observations or estimates of the state of the fishery resource that are proxies for variables of interest.

INTERMEDIATE


MPs of intermediate complexity can be based on qualitative data, derived from raw data, or based on simple demographic models.

Practical definition:
Indicator-based MPs are used to support decision-making in the absence of biomass estimates

REVIEW OF MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES

Indicators (e.g., survey, CPUE, average length) used to modify catch advice.


MP: Stepwise adjustment



HCR: catch advice is "stepped" up or down when outside reference range

Objective: Keep indicator stable between range

MP: Indicator trend




HCR: catch advice adjusted relative to magnitude of slope.

Objective: Keep indicator stable based on current trend

REVIEW OF MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES

Indicators (e.g., survey, CPUE, average length) used to modify catch advice.


MP: Indicator target



HCR: catch advice adjusted based on the distance to the the target value.

Objective: Keep indicator stable relative to target.

MP: trend + target



HCR: catch advice is adjusted relative to both slope and distance to target value.

Objective: Keep indicator stable relative to target.

Examples from other fisheries

Part 3

A large school of fish, likely sardines or anchovies, swimming in a deep blue underwater environment. The fish are densely packed and moving in a coordinated pattern, creating a shimmering effect in the water.

EXAMPLES

- Summaries are provided for three fisheries that faced similar data limitations as the black grouper fishery and used indicator-based management procedures.
- In each example, catch advice is adjusted based on indicators such as biomass indices, catch per unit effort (CPUE), and/or mean length in catches.
- These management procedures aim to provide evidence-based recommendations that accommodate different degrees of data availability.


THORNY SKATE

Amblyraja radiata | Location: Gulf of Maine, USA (New England Fishery Management Council)



Decision Rule Visualization

Biomass Index



Catch advice calculation

ABC = median (Average catch / Average index) x Recent average index

ACL = ABC

Annual Catch Limit (ACL) = Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC)

ACT = ACL x 0.9

Annual Catch Target (ACT): mitigates uncertainties related to discards and landings

- **Overfished status determination:** If the recent average index falls below biomass index threshold, the stock considered to be overfished.
- **Overfishing status determination:** If the recent average index falls below the previous average index by more than a pre-agreed percentage, overfishing is occurring.

PLAICE



Pleuronectes platessa | Location: ICES divisions 7.h–k (Celtic Sea South, southwest of Ireland)

Decision Rule Visualization


Biomass index from most recent 5 years



Maintain stable biomass



Avoid low biomass



Most recent year
I = 698 tonnes

Index trigger
I_{trigger} = 150 tonnes

Mean length in catches



Move fishing pressure towards MSY

Most recent year
L = 29.7 cm

Target length
L_{target} = 28.9 cm

Previous catch advice



Multi-indicator management procedure (Biomass index + mean length in catches)

$$m1: A/B = 1.28$$

$$m2: \min\{I/I_{\text{trigger}}, 1\} = 1$$

$$m3: L/L_{\text{target}} = 1.03$$

110 tonnes

Catch advice calculation

This year's catch advice = Previous catch advice x m1 x m2 x m3 = 137.7 tonnes

- Catch shall be decreased by no more than 30% relative to previous advice (*Reduce interannual catch variability*).
- Catch shall be increased by no more than 20% relative to previous advice (*Precautionary measure*).

SPANNER CRAB

Ranina ranina | Location: Queensland, Australia



Decision Rule Visualization

Pooled biomass index (average of survey and CPUE)



Rebuild to target biomass



This management procedure has been assessed through Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE).

Catch advice calculation

Conditions for an increase

Pooled index (current year) > 1 

Pooled index (current year) > Pooled index (previous year) 

Conditions for a decrease

Pooled index (current year) < 1 

Pooled index (current year) < Pooled index (previous year) 

*Fishery closure if CPUE < Limit Reference Point (LRP) 

% change in catch advice = % change of biomass index relative to the previous year

Additional clauses

No change in catch advice when neither set of conditions met

Annual change in catch advice capped at 200 t

Catch advice cannot exceed 1300 t

Catch advice cannot fall below 300 t

A brief note on MSE

Part 4



A BRIEF NOTE ON MSE

HOW THE MSE PROCESS COULD BE APPLIED TO BLACK GROUPER?

OPERATING MODEL


A mathematical-statistical model used to simulate a representation of the fishery system and its monitoring programs.

MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE (MP)

A management procedure is a pre-agreed process defining how a fishery will be managed, with the primary role being to take fishery information and return a management recommendation.

PERFORMANCE METRICS

Statistics that summarize different aspects of the results of MSE to illuminate how well an MP achieves some or all of the management objectives.



A BRIEF NOTE ON MSE

MSE offers an opportunity to improve decision-making, despite uncertainty, by testing the merits of management procedures (MPs) and examining the costs and benefits of improved data collection.

WHY CONDUCT MSE?

- Evaluate MPs relative to management objectives
- Identify MPs that are robust to uncertainty
- Trade-offs between MPs of different complexity
- Evaluate cost and benefits of data collection
- Promotes collaboration between parties
- Test MPs prior to real-world implementation

REX SOLE

Glyptocephalus zachirus | Location: West Coast Vancouver Island groundfish management area




Decision Rule Visualization

Biomass index



Maintain stable biomass

MP: Index ratio




a: Index ratio (A/B)

This year's catch advice = Previous catch advice x a



Maintain stable biomass

MP: Index slope




sl: Index slope

This year's catch advice = Previous catch advice x (1+c x sl)



Achieve target biomass

MP: Index target



ltar: Index ratio (A/B)

This year's catch advice = Previous catch advice x ltar

Catch advice calculation

c: is a control parameter (0-1) that adjusts how quickly this year catch is adjusted based on sl

Tested using MSE

Viable pathways towards black grouper MPs

Part 5

A large school of black groupers is swimming in clear blue water. The fish are densely packed in the lower half of the frame, moving towards the right. The water is a deep, clear blue, and the fish are dark in color, creating a strong contrast.

VIABLE PATHWAYS TOWARDS BLACK GROUPER MPS

- Current data limitations make traditional stock assessment challenging for the black grouper fishery.
- There is an opportunity to construct a pathway to overcome these current limitations by exploring and designing MPs and testing them using Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE).

VIABLE PATHWAYS TOWARDS BLACK GROUPER MPS

**Where Are We Now?
(Status Quo)**




**What Can We Achieve
With Current Data?**



**What Can We Achieve With
Improved Catch and Indices
Reliability?**




**How Do We Design and
Prioritize a Pathway
Forward?**



- Stepwise approach with four stages.
- Progresses from limited data to improved data.
- Emphasizes indicators that could be used to transition away from status quo catch-only methods.


VIABLE PATHWAYS TOWARDS BLACK GROUPER MPS

Where Are We Now? (Status Quo)




VIABLE PATHWAYS TOWARDS BLACK GROUPER MPS

What Can We Achieve With Current Data?



VIABLE PATHWAYS TOWARDS BLACK GROUPER MPS

What Can We Achieve With Improved Catch and Indices Reliability?



VIABLE PATHWAYS TOWARDS BLACK GROUPER MPS

How Do We Design and Prioritize a Pathway Forward?

Improve data collection
and reliability



Composition data
Catch data
Indices

Design and testing of
MPs




Robustness of MPs
Complexity of MPs

Assess the Value of
Information (VOI)



Cost/benefit of new data
Data prioritization
Benefits of improving data

Simulation-tested MPs
(MSE approach)



Output
Catch advice

VIABLE PATHWAYS TOWARDS BLACK GROUPER MPS

**Where Are We Now?
(Status Quo)**



**What Can We Achieve
With Current Data?**



**What Can We Achieve With
Improved Catch and Indices
Reliability?**




**How Do We Design and
Prioritize a Pathway
Forward?**

Relies on previous catch advice and expert judgment.
Precautionary catch limits, size limits, and gear restrictions.

Data-limited but potentially more robust than status quo.
Promotes enhancing MPs by better utilizing available data.

Improved data reliability supports more sophisticated approaches.
Could evaluate demographic models and stock assessment.

Design and tests MPs prior to implementation.
Evaluate robustness of MPs under different scenarios.
Value of information (VoI).



Next steps



NEXT STEPS

- **Communicate this MP framework and process?**
 - Share-out of this discussion and related materials.
 - Not all MPs will achieve same outcomes, need stakeholder input
- **Construct a preferred pathway to design of MP options?**
 - Stages we discussed akin to entry points to MP design.
 - How do we prioritize and scope next step(s)?
- **Conduct testing of MPs using MSE?**
 - Begin with translating life history, fishery characteristics, and monitoring programs into a suitable simulation (e.g., operating model(s)).
 - Key uncertainties as alternative model configurations
 - Value of information